

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**

JUMANAH AWLIA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOUTHERN NEW HAMPSHIRE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
AND DEMAND FOR JURY
TRIAL**

Plaintiff Jumanah Awlia (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against Defendant Southern New Hampshire University (“SNHU” or “Defendant”). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 academic semester at SNHU, and who, because of Defendant’s response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.

2. SNHU is a private university with an enrollment of over 90,000 students. SNHU offers approximately 50 formal major fields for undergraduate students, as well as a number of graduate programs.

3. SNHU offers students a “campus experience,” where courses are held at its

campus in Manchester, New Hampshire, and an “online experience” where courses are held remotely via an online format. Approximately 3,900 students participate in the “campus experience,” while the remainder participate in the “online experience.”

4. The difference in the costs of tuition and fees between the “campus experience” and the “online experience” is substantial. For example, tuition for the “campus experience” is approximately \$15,378 per semester, while other fees and insurance range from \$1,783 to \$2,146. By contrast, tuition and fees for the “online experience” is \$960 per course (i.e., \$4,800 for 5 courses).

SNHU “Campus Experience”	SNHU “Online Experience”
Tuition: \$15,378 per semester Fees: \$1,783 to \$2,146	Tuition and Fees: \$960 per course (i.e., \$4,800 for 5 courses)

5. To further illustrate, the total cost for a 120-credit online bachelor’s degree at SNHU is \$38,400, compared to approximately \$123,024 for an on-campus bachelor’s degree.

6. On March 11, 2020, SNHU, via press release, announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, beginning Monday, March 16 (the first day back from Spring Break) all classes would be held online through Sunday, March 29. SNHU ultimately extended its cancellation of in-person classes for the duration of the Spring 2020 Semester.

7. SNHU has not held any in-person classes since March 6, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.

8. As a result of the closure of Defendant’s facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Ms. Awlia and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to SNHU students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty.

Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.

9. Nonetheless, SNHU has not refunded any tuition or fees for the Spring 2020 semester.

10. SNHU has admitted that the educational services offered online are inferior to the in-person services. Indeed, SNHU has announced that going forward

If the campus remains closed and we continue with online instruction, your tuition will be reduced to \$960 per course for each semester that you remain in online classes. Assuming a regular five-course load per semester, your annual tuition will drop to just under \$10,000 from the standard \$31,000. If and when we can reopen campus, you will resume regular classes with your campus faculty and the tuition rate will return to the norm; your financial aid package will still apply.

<https://www.snhu.edu/admission/campus-admission/fall-2020-announcement/transfer-and-current-students> (last visited May 18, 2020).

11. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in canceling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

12. Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

PARTIES

13. Plaintiff Jumanah Awlia is a citizen of New Hampshire who resides in Seabrook, New Hampshire. Ms. Awlia is a recent graduate of SNHU with a Bachelor's Degree in Business

Administration. The Business Administration program at SNHU relies extensively on in-person instruction, peer collaboration, and access to SNHU's facilities. None of these resources was available to Ms. Awlia while in-person classes were suspended. Ms. Awlia paid approximately \$300 in tuition and fees to Defendant for the Spring 2020 semester. Ms. Awlia has not been provided a refund of any tuition monies paid, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 6, 2020. Ms. Awlia has also not been provided a refund of all fees.

14. Defendant Southern New Hampshire University is a private university with its principal place of business at 2500 N. River Road, Manchester, NH 03106.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is headquartered in this District, and many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

17. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Plaintiff and Defendant are residents of this District. Specifically, the contract that is the subject of this action was formed in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For Spring Semester 2020

18. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other fees for the Spring 2020 Semester at SNHU.

19. Spring Semester 2020 classes at SNHU began on or about January 14, 2020. Classes and final exams for the semester concluded on or around May 1, 2020.

20. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring Semester 2020. They also paid other fees associated with the Spring Semester 2020.

21. Approximate on-campus tuition costs at SNHU for the Spring 2020 Semester are as follows:

- Undergraduate Degree at SNHU: \$15,378
- Master's Degree at SNHU: \$2,100 per course (day program) or \$1,881 per course (evening program)

22. Fees paid by SNHU students vary based on program of study and whether undergraduate or graduate, but are at least thousands of dollars per semester.

23. The tuition and fees described in the paragraph above are provided way of example; total damage amounts – which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

In Response To COVID-19, SNHU Closed Campuses And Cancelled All In-Person Classes

24. On March 11, 2020, SNHU, via press release, announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, beginning Monday, March 16 (the first day back from Spring Break) all classes would be held online through Sunday, March 29. SNHU ultimately extended its cancellation of in-person classes for the duration of the Spring 2020 Semester.

25. SNHU has not held any in-person classes since March 6, 2020. The closure of SNHU's campuses has been extended through the end of Spring Semester 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even

students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as the fine arts and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.

26. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in canceling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

27. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's far more expensive in-person program.

28. Defendant markets the SNHU "on-campus experience" as a benefit of enrollment on SNHU's website:

Explore Life on the SNHU Campus

SNHU has great academic programs, a continuing dedication to career development, and countless opportunities to get involved in sports, internships, student organizations, and more. We also have a beautiful campus located just five minutes from downtown Manchester, NH, with 300 wooded acres to explore. We've got new, comfortable residence halls, state-of-the-art academic buildings, and plenty of space to live, play, and learn.

Clubs and Organizations



There are over 70 student-run clubs and organizations to choose from at SNHU, ranging from student government and event planning to Greek life and performing arts. Don't see the club you're looking for? At SNHU, you can always start your own!

29. The online learning options being offered to SNHU students are subpar in

practically every aspect and a shadow of what they once were, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.

30. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for Spring Semester 2020 tuition. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at SNHU are higher than tuition and fees for other online institutions because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:

- Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;
- Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study room;
- Student governance and student unions;
- Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
- Student art, cultures, and other activities;
- Social development and independence;
- Hands on learning and experimentation;
- Networking and mentorship opportunities.

31. The fact that SNHU students paid a higher price for an in-person education than they would have paid for an online education is illustrated clearly by the vast price difference in SNHU's in-person, on-campus programs versus SNHU's own online learning programs. SNHU's tuition for the "campus experience" is approximately \$15,378 per semester, while other fees and insurance range between \$1,783 to \$2,146. By contrast, tuition and fees for the "online experience" is \$960 per course (i.e., \$4,800 for 5 courses). In other words, the cost of a semester

of an in-person education at SNHU is **more than triple** the cost of a semester of online education at the same university.

32. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

33. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid SNHU Spring Semester 2020 tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that SNHU failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.

34. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in New Hampshire (the "Subclass").

35. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.

36. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is

impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.

37. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
- (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted; and
- (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide.
- (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
- (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.

38. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and

were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.

39. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.

40. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Moreover, the class action device presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

41. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:

- (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to

individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;

- (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
- (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

COUNT I
Breach Of Contract
(On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

42. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

43. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendants.

44. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.

45. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration, Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for Spring Semester 2020 tuition. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class

and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.

46. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.

47. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.

48. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but not be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since SNHU shut down on March 6, 2020.

49. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

COUNT II
Unjust Enrichment
(On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all

preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

51. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

52. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid for Spring Semester 2020 tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.

53. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.

54. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since SNHU shut down on March 6, 2020.

55. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

COUNT III
Conversion
(On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

56. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

57. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

58. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the

in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.

59. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.

60. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since SNHU shut down on March 6, 2020.

61. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.

62. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.

63. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since SNHU shut down on March 6, 2020.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Subclass and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass;

- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (f) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass her reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Respectfully submitted,
JUMANAH AWLIA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,
By their attorneys,
DOUGLAS, LEONARD & GARVEY, P.C.

Date: May 19, 2020

By: /s/ Benjamin T. King
Benjamin T. King, NH Bar #12888
14 South Street, Suite 5
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 224-1988
benjamin@nhlawoffice.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
Philip L. Fraietta (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming)
New York Bar No. 5297825
Alec M. Leslie (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming)
New York Bar No. 5520721
888 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (646) 837-7150
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
Email: pfraietta@bursor.com
aleslie@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Sarah N. Westcot (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming)

Florida Bar No. 1018272

2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220

Miami, FL 33133

Telephone: (305) 330-5512

Facsimile: (305) 676-9006

Email: [swestcot @bursor.com](mailto:swestcot@bursor.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Jumanah Awlia, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Rockingham County, NH (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Benjamin T. King, Esq., Douglas, Leonard & Garvey, P.C. 14 South Street, Suite 5, Concord, NH 03301 (603) 224-1988

DEFENDANTS

Southern New Hampshire University

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hillsborough County, NH (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal codes and descriptions.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)
Brief description of cause: Breach of contract

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 05/19/2020

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Benjamin T. King, NH Bar #12888

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

AO 398 (Rev. 01/09) Notice of a Lawsuit and Request to Waive Service of a Summons

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
District of New Hampshire

Jumanah Awlia, et al.

Plaintiff

v.

Southern New Hampshire University

Defendant

Civil Action No.

NOTICE OF A LAWSUIT AND REQUEST TO WAIVE SERVICE OF A SUMMONS

To: Paul LeBlanc, President of the Corporation

(Name of the defendant or - if the defendant is a corporation, partnership, or association - an officer or agent authorized to receive service)

Why are you getting this?

A lawsuit has been filed against you, or the entity you represent, in this court under the number shown above. A copy of the complaint is attached.

This is not a summons, or an official notice from the court. It is a request that, to avoid expenses, you waive formal service of a summons by signing and returning the enclosed waiver. To avoid these expenses, you must return the signed waiver within 30 days (give at least 30 days, or at least 60 days if the defendant is outside any judicial district of the United States) from the date shown below, which is the date this notice was sent. Two copies of the waiver form are enclosed, along with a stamped, self-addressed envelope or other prepaid means for returning one copy. You may keep the other copy.

What happens next?

If you return the signed waiver, I will file it with the court. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, but no summons will be served on you and you will have 60 days from the date this notice is sent (see the date below) to answer the complaint (or 90 days if this notice is sent to you outside any judicial district of the United States).

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will arrange to have the summons and complaint served on you. And I will ask the court to require you, or the entity you represent, to pay the expenses of making service.

Please read the enclosed statement about the duty to avoid unnecessary expenses.

I certify that this request is being sent to you on the date below.

Date:

/s/ Benjamin T. King

Signature of the attorney or unrepresented party

Benjamin T. King, NH Bar #12888

Printed name

Douglas, Leonard & Garvey, P.C.

14 South Street, Suite5

Concord, NH 03301

Address

benjamin@nhlawoffice.com

E-mail address

(603) 224-1988

Telephone number

AO 399 (01/09) Waiver of the Service of Summons

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
District of New Hampshire

Jumanah Awlia, et al.

Plaintiff

v.

Southern New Hampshire University

Defendant

Civil Action No.

WAIVER OF THE SERVICE OF SUMMONS

To: Benjamin T. King, Esq.
(Name of the plaintiff's attorney or unrepresented plaintiff)

I have received your request to waive service of a summons in this action along with a copy of the complaint, two copies of this waiver form, and a prepaid means of returning one signed copy of the form to you.

I, or the entity I represent, agree to save the expense of serving a summons and complaint in this case.

I understand that I, or the entity I represent, will keep all defenses or objections to the lawsuit, the court's jurisdiction, and the venue of the action, but that I waive any objections to the absence of a summons or of service.

I also understand that I, or the entity I represent, must file and serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 within 60 days from the date when this request was sent (or 90 days if it was sent outside the United States). If I fail to do so, a default judgment will be entered against me or the entity I represent.

Date:

Signature of the attorney or unrepresented party

Southern New Hampshire University

Printed name of party waiving service of summons

Printed name

Address

E-mail address

Telephone number

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Expenses of Serving a Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain defendants to cooperate in saving unnecessary expenses of serving a summons and complaint. A defendant who is located in the United States and who fails to return a signed waiver of service requested by a plaintiff located in the United States will be required to pay the expenses of service, unless the defendant shows good cause for the failure.

"Good cause" does not include a belief that the lawsuit is groundless, or that it has been brought in an improper venue, or that the court has no jurisdiction over this matter or over the defendant or the defendant's property.

If the waiver is signed and returned, you can still make these and all other defenses and objections, but you cannot object to the absence of a summons or of service.

If you waive service, then you must, within the time specified on the waiver form, serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 on the plaintiff and file a copy with the court. By signing and returning the waiver form, you are allowed more time to respond than if a summons had been served.